

Environmental Research Communications



LETTER

OPEN ACCESS

RECEIVED

12 September 2019

REVISED

29 April 2020

ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION

4 May 2020

PUBLISHED

14 May 2020

Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence](#).

Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.



Rainfall control on Amazon sediment flux: synthesis from 20 years of monitoring

E Armijos^{1,2}, A Crave³, J C Espinoza⁴, N Filizola², R Espinoza-Villar⁵, Ayes I⁶, P Fonseca⁶, P Fraizy⁷, O Gutierrez⁸, P Vauchel⁷, B Camenen⁹, J M Martinez⁷, A Dos Santos^{6,10}, W Santini⁷, G Cochonneau⁷ and J L Guyot⁷

¹ Instituto Geofísico del Perú (IGP), Lima, Peru

² Universidade Federal do Amazonas (UFAM), Manaus, Brazil

³ Univ. Rennes, CNRS, Géoscience Rennes, UMR 6118, 3500 Rennes, France

⁴ Univ. Grenoble Alpes, IRD, CNRS, Grenoble INP, Institute des Géosciences de l'Environnement (IGE, UMR 5001), 38000 Grenoble, France

⁵ Univ. Nacional Agraria La Molina. UNALM. Av. La Molina s/n. Lima, Peru

⁶ CLIAMB. Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia (INPA), Universidade do Estado do Amazonas (UEA), Manaus, Brazil

⁷ IRD, Géoscience Environnement Toulouse (GET-CNRS, IRD, Université de Toulouse), Toulouse, France

⁸ LMD, Institut Pierre Simon Laplace (IPSL), Sorbonne Université, Paris, France

⁹ Irstea, UR RiverLy, Centre de Lyon-Villeurbanne, Villeurbanne, France

¹⁰ Serviço Geológico do Brasil (CPRM), Manaus, Brazil

E-mail: armijos.elisa@gmail.com

Keywords: suspended sediment flux, Amazon River, silt, sand, rainfall, discharge, empirical model

Supplementary material for this article is available [online](#)

Abstract

The biodiversity and productivity of the Amazon floodplain depend on nutrients and organic matter transported with suspended sediments. Nevertheless, there are still fundamental unknowns about how hydrological and rainfall variability influence sediment flux in the Amazon River. To address this gap, we analyzed 3069 sediment samples collected every 10 days during 1995–2014 at five gauging stations located in the main rivers. We have two distinct fractions of suspended sediments, fine (clay and silt) and coarse (sand), which followed contrasting seasonal and long-term patterns. By taking these dynamics into account, it was estimated, for first time, in the Amazon plain, that the suspended sediment flux separately measured approximately 60% fine and 40% coarse sediment. We find that the fine suspended sediments flux is linked to rainfall and higher coarse suspended sediment flux is related with discharge. Additionally this work presents the time lag between rainfall and discharge, which is related to the upstream area of the gauging. This result is an important contribution to knowledge of biological and geomorphological issues in Amazon basin.

1. Introduction

The Amazon River accounts for almost one-fifth of global freshwater discharge (Callède *et al* 2010) and supplies 40% of the Atlantic Ocean's sediment flux (Milliman and Farnsworth 2011). Water and sediment flowing through the Amazon carry carbon and nutrients that fuel productivity on the immense Amazon floodplain, resulting in globally relevant fluxes in organic carbon (Moreira-Turcq *et al* 2003), water vapor (Salati and Vose 1984), and CO₂ (Abril *et al* 2013).

The Amazon basin is therefore a critical and strategic zone for studying the effects of climate change and direct human disturbance on water, sediment, and biogeochemical fluxes. Richey *et al* (1989) showed the importance of climate variability against to human activity. However, the expansion of hydropower and agriculture have recently modified Amazon's land surface processes (Forsberg *et al* (2017), Latrubesse *et al* (2017), Anderson *et al* 2018), which raises questions and concerns about their impacts on discharge and

sediment flux alteration in the Amazon River (e.g. Davidson *et al* 2012, Ferreira *et al* 2014, Nobre *et al* 2016). Data and modeling tools are urgently required to anticipate possible consequences of the evolution of climate and anthropological forcing.

The CAMREX project worked on the Amazon plain and estimated annual sediment flux based on the daily variation of water surface slope (Meade *et al* 1985). Since 1995, the Environmental Research Observatory (SO-Hybam) and national institutes from the Amazon basin have been working together to build a discharge and suspended sediments dataset to understand the dynamics of water and sediment fluxes in different parts of the Amazon basin. The sediment flux was also estimated through indirect methods, such as turbidity and MODIS images (Espinoza Villar *et al* (2013), Armijos *et al* 2017, Dos Santos *et al* 2018, Espinoza-Villar *et al* (2018)), or by using the spatial and temporal variation of gravitational fields from GRACE satellite data (Mouyen *et al* 2018).

Because of its large size, the daily discharge in the Amazon basin has been estimated by distributed hydrological models such as the MGB-IPH 'Modelo de Grandes Bacias', developed by Collischonn *et al* (2007) and enhanced by De Paiva *et al* (2013) and Pontes *et al* (2015). This model simulates all stages of the hydrological response for different units. Nevertheless, discharge prediction is uncertain at the monthly level because of the seasonality of surface water and groundwater states. Another source of uncertainty is data quality at various spatial and temporal scales (Correa *et al* 2017). The empirical model based on the historical relationship between inputs (rainfall) and outputs (discharge) can be used to predict discharge and sediment fluxes in the Amazon River. For example, Cohen *et al* (2014) used empirical relationships as an input in a numerical model to estimate the discharge and sediment flux of the Madeira River, and obtained robust results. They noted that the temporal variability of precipitation might have a major effect on water discharge and sediment dynamics. However, the authors used a short database on sediment flux to validate the model. The use of empirical relationships requires a large and suitable dataset to catch significant statistical trends. Setting up such a dataset is one of the main goals of the SO-HYBAM because this method is not used for the Amazon basin.

The relationship between inter-annual rainfall and the concentration of suspended sediments in the Amazon plain is currently mostly unknown. Several studies based on different databases propose various main control factors for the annual denudation rate in the Andes including climate variability, lithology and topographic slope (Aalto *et al* 2006, Pepin *et al* 2013). Other studies are inconclusive about the factors that control the denudation rate in the Andes (Latrubesse and Restrepo 2014).

The monthly average concentration at the outlet of the Andean basins in Bolivia and Peru shows a direct linear rating curve with discharge (Guyot *et al* 1996, Armijos *et al* 2013). This relationship shows counter-clockwise hysteresis in the Amazon plain, which means that the total suspended sediment concentrations are higher during the rising limb of the hydrograph than at the equivalent water discharge during the falling period (Richey *et al* 1986, Dunne *et al* 1998, Maurice *et al* 2007). The counter-clockwise hysteresis is the result of temporal variation in sediment and water discharge relative to availability due to depletion of available sediment in the basin or in the stream channel supply (Walling and Webb 1982, Picouet *et al* 2001).


Due to the lack of simple and well-defined empirical relationships, there is no suitable empirical model to predict monthly or annual sediment flux variation with rainfall or discharge inputs.

The Amazon River and its tributaries transport two well defined suspended sediment size fractions, which have different dynamics related to rainfall and discharge (Dunne *et al* 1998, Armijos *et al* 2017). Mertes and Meade (1985) showed that the bed forms are composed of dunes by 2 to 5 m in the Amazon River at Óbidos, and the particle size is between 125 to 500 μm in the Amazon River and its tributaries. Bouchez *et al* (2011) compare the relative fine and coarse sediment concentration during the flood period at Solimões and the Amazon River. Based on this observation, this study proposes an original empirical approach for calculating the sediment flux in the Amazon plain based on the sediment concentration dynamics of each size fraction: fine [C_f] and coarse [C_c] sediment. We analyzed a database of more than 20 years of regular suspended sediment sampling, where direct empirical relationships can be established between rainfall, water discharge, [C_f] and [C_c] for the main tributaries in the Amazon basin. These relationships reflect the current hydrologic condition in Amazon basin and show the impact of rainfall on the water and sediment flux at a monthly step where the transfer's processes are better reproducible in a basin of this size. Finally, this relationship is the basis for determining the change in sediment production from natural to anthropogenic influence.

2. Data and methods

2.1. Study area

The Amazon basin is an area that drains $5.9 \times 10^6 \text{ km}^2$ and includes regions with contrasting topography, climate and hydrology (Espinoza *et al* 2009a, 2009b, Callède *et al* 2010). The Amazon River has an average flow of $210 \times 10^3 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ to the Atlantic Ocean (Callède *et al* 2010). As the Amazon approaches the ocean, it receives influx from the Peruvian, Colombian and Ecuadorian Andes in the Solimões River (60% of annual average water



discharge contribution), from the Peruvian and Bolivian Andes in the Madeira River (15% of water discharge contribution), and from the Guyana shield in the Negro River (14% of water discharge). The Solimões and Madeira rivers are rich in suspended sediment, while the Negro River is largely sediment-free (Filizola and Guyot 2009).

This study considers six gauging stations located in the Peruvian and Brazilian plains, with a long historical data set and contrasts in the seasonality of suspended sediment concentration and rainfall. The Tamshiyacu gauging station (TAM) is located on the Amazon River in Peru, below the confluence of the Ucayali and Marañón rivers. In Brazil, the Manacapuru (MAN) gauging station is located on the Solimões River upstream of the confluence with the Negro River. There are two gauging stations on the Madeira River: the Porto Velho (PTV), that is located downstream from the border between Bolivia and Brazil, and the Fazenda Vista Alegre gauging station (FVA), located in the Madeira River upstream of the confluence with the Amazon River. On the Branco River, the Caracarai (CAR) gauging station is located upstream of the confluence with the Negro River, and the Óbidos (OBI) gauging station is located 870 km upstream of the mouth (figure 1).

2.2. Data on discharge, rainfall and sedimentology

This study used discharge and suspended sediment data provided by SO HYBAM available at <http://www.ore-hybam.org/>. Discharge was measured with a Rio Grande 600 kHz RDI Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler (ADCP) with a global positioning system (GPS). Field water discharge in each gauging station was performed regularly, usually three and four times in the year. For the Tamshiyacu, Caracarai, and Porto Velho gauging station, we used the rating curve between the level of the river and water discharge. The Manning-Strickler equation was used to calculate daily discharge. In the Manacapuru, Fazenda Vista Alegre, and Óbidos gauging stations, where there is no direct relationship due to backwater (Meade *et al* 1991, Vauchel *et al* 2017).

Rainfall data was gathered from the merged Climate Hazards Group Infrared Precipitation (CHIRPS) dataset. CHIRPS uses the global cold cloud duration as a primary source to calculate global precipitation. This initial estimation is then calibrated with the precipitation product from TRMM-3B42 V7 and information from the global rain gauge network, resulting in a high spatial resolution rainfall data set ($0.25^\circ \times 0.25^\circ$). Satellite data provide daily and monthly precipitation data sets from January 1981 to December 2017 (Funk *et al* 2015).

CHIRPS data have been compared previously with data from observation-based rainfall products and from a meteorological station in the Amazon basin (Espinoza *et al* 2019).

SO HYBAM has been monitoring discharge and suspended sediment concentration in Brazil since 1995 and Peru since 2003. The suspended sediment data set has a total of 3069 surface measurements taken every 10 days and 113 discrete cross-section measurements taken during different periods of the annual hydrological regime from 2003 to 2014 (e.g., Óbidos gauging station, figure 1(b)). A similar sampling protocol was used by the SO-HYBAM observatory. Discrete water samples (5 L or 7 L) were collected at different depths for several profiles with samples less than 1 m from the riverbed. The spatial location of the profiles in the cross section depends was determined with equal discharge. For each sample, the coarse and fine sediments are separated using a 63 μm sieve. A 400 ml sample of the fine sediments was filtered through a 0.45 μm cellulose filter. Repeated measurements revealed an uncertainty of 10% for surface concentrations and 25% for the concentrations near the river bottom. The data for both the discharge and the suspended sediments are stored and processed with Hydraccess software (<http://www.ore-hybam.org/index.php/eng/software/Hydraccess>). Details of the field measurements are described in Armijos *et al* (2017) and Vauchel *et al* (2017).

Analysis of suspended sediment concentration therefore considers two fractions: particles $>0.45 \mu\text{m}$ and $<63 \mu\text{m}$ ([Cf]) and particles $>63 \mu\text{m}$ ([Cc]). The [Cf] and [Cc] have very different seasonal signals during the hydrological year. At OBI, for example, the [Cf] peak occurs between February and March, during the rainy period, and the [Cc] peak between April and June, during the flood period (figures 1(c), (d)).

2.3. Discharge, rainfall and sediment relationships

There are significant time lags between rainfall input and water discharge response because of the large size of the Amazon basin. Several methods can be applied to calculate basin time lag between rainfall and discharge (Granato 2012). This time lag depends on control factor patterns, such as basin soil wetness, the nature of flow paths, and rainfall distribution. However, this information is limited or has large uncertainties in the Amazon basin. For this study, the rainfall-discharge time lag was defined with a cross-correlation analysis for the daily level of the average basin-integrated rainfall for each of the six basins and their respective discharge. The mean climatological annual cycle of both series is removed to eliminate seasonality.

The lag times and the Hack law (Hack 1957), can also derive an average travel velocity (V) of the water over the drainage area (A) for each basin as follows:

$$V = L/t \quad (1)$$

Here, L is the characteristic basin length, and t is the lag time between rainfall and discharge. L is obtained from the Hack law, which defines the longest upstream length with the drainage area (A) at a specific point of the drainage network:

$L = A^{0.56}$ (see supplementary material for more detail about the Hack's law is available online at stacks.iop.org/ERC/2/051008/mmedia)

Armijos *et al* (2017) observe an increase [Cc] during the flood period, and they concluded that the capacity of the Amazon River and tributaries increase during this period. This observation suggests an empirical relationship between [Cc] and discharge. However, we considered the river bed shear stress instead of the water discharge only.

$$[Cc] = au^{*b} \quad (2)$$

To evaluate the river bed shear stress, we used stage-discharge-rating curves to estimate hydraulic parameters with a and b fitting coefficients for section-averaged flow velocity and hydraulic radius (Camenen *et al* 2014).

We also explored the related hydro-sedimentary model to discuss the potential use of a sediment transport capacity (Camenen and Larson 2008) to predict the sand suspended load. The supplementary material summarizes the methodology and the data that have been used as input to the Camenen *et al* (2014)'s model including, particle sizes, cross-section at gauging stations and discharge datasets.


Eventually, we also studied the monthly relationship between rainfall and fine suspended sediment flux (Q_{sf}) with a and b fitting coefficients.

$$Q_{sf} = aR^b \quad (3)$$

3. Results

3.1. Rainfall and discharge relationship

The counter-clockwise hysteresis between rainfall and discharge shows the response time between the maximum peak rainfall in the basin and the maximum draining away to the main river at OBI. We found that the maximum peak rainfall is between January and March, and the maximum peak of discharge is between May and June. The counter-clockwise hysteresis is reproducible every year, which implies that the same rising and falling events occur during the same periods each year. This produces a reliable lag time for the entire OBI



dataset (figure 2(a)). The same trend is observed at the other gauging stations, with different lag times between rainfall in all basins and discharge peaks.

The lag time is 102 days, with $r^2 = 0.98$ at OBI for the period 1981 to 2016 (figure 2(b)). The supplementary materials summarize the lag values for each gauging station. Using the same procedure, lag times for the other gauging stations were calculated for the same period as for OBI (figures 2(c)–(g)). The monthly rainfall and discharge relationships do not show any hysteresis and follow simple linear trends with coefficient of determination values between 0.95 and 0.99 on a monthly scale, if we take into account the respective time lag at each station (table 1). These empirical relationships could be used to determine monthly discharge at each station when the amount of rainfall in the basin is known.

The monthly discharge was calculated using the empirical relationship of rainfall to discharge and has an RMSE of $<11\%$ for the Madeira and Solimões rivers and $<14\%$ for the Amazon and Branco rivers, in comparison with the field observations.

The scaling of lag times via the upstream length of the river at each station, converge to similar values of water transit velocity $V \sim 38 \text{ km/day} \pm 10\%$ (Óbidos value of V not included—table 1, Supplementary Material). This is over a large part of the Amazon plain and a large range of geological contexts, including the sub-basins of different orders of magnitude, water discharges, and sediment concentrations. The larger V observed at OBI indicates a decrease in the average water velocity. We did not currently push the analysis further to better understand this downstream shift of V . It may be related to the channel-flood plain connection in this area that was already described in previous studies (Dunne *et al* 1998).


Our study shows that the monthly discharge of the Amazon River network can be deduced via the effective rainfall rate for the specific location and applying $V \approx 38 \text{ km day}^{-1}$ for the time lag calculation. Note that there is no need to apply a correction factor suggesting that there is no notable variability in the average rainfall efficiency rate in the Amazon plain.

3.2. Relationship between discharge and coarse suspended sediment concentration $[C_c]$

$[C_c]$ in the Amazon basin increases during the flood period in the Amazon main stream between Tamshiyacu and Óbidos (Armijos *et al* 2017). Higher local discharge induces re-suspension of coarse particles from the riverbed and greater hydraulic capacity of river transport. By analyzing the field measurements of $[C_c]$ and discharges, we found that both variables are related via a power law that is specific for each station. The strong correlation between $[C_c]$ and discharge allow us to calculate the coarse suspended sediment flux using the empirical rating curve (with $r^2 =$ between 0.57 and 0.89 for all gauging stations). The shear velocity and $[C_c]$

Table 1. Time of response (lag time) between rainfall (mm day^{-1}) and discharge ($\text{m}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$), and fitting values for equation (2), and between u^* (m s^{-1}) and $[Cc]$ (mg l^{-1}) and between rainfall (mm month^{-1}) and Qsf (t month^{-1}) for six gauging stations respectively.

River	Gauging Station	Rainfall (R) (versus) Discharge (Q)				u^* (versus) $[Cc]$		Rainfall (versus) Qsf			
		$Q = aR + b$	r^2	Lag time (days)	RMSE %	$[Cc] = au^{*b}$	r^2	$Qsf = aR^b$	r^2	Lag time (days)	RMSE %
Amazon	Tamshiyacu	$Q = 611 \times R + 422$	0.95	53	29	$[Cc] = 2E + 0.8 \times u^{*6.05}$	0.57	$Qsf = 443 \times R^{2.23}$	0.89	—	30
Solimões	Manacapuru	$Q = 16230 \times R - 630$	0.96	95	5	$[Cc] = 3E + 0.7 \times u^{*5.57}$	0.84	$Qsf = 161 \times R^{1.92}$	0.80	—	23
Madeira	Porto Velho	$Q = 4133 \times R + 1192$	0.99	60	6	$[Cc] = 1E + 0.8 \times u^{*5.74}$	0.65	$Qsf = 443 \times R^{2.23}$	0.89	30	22
Madeira	Fazenda	$Q = 4498 \times R + 771$	0.96	63	11	$[Cc] = 4E + 0.7 \times u^{*5.18}$	0.89	$Qsf = 806 \times R^{2.0}$	0.89	30	30
Branco	Caracarai	$Q = 674.3 \times R - 632$	0.96	23	14			$Qsf = 743 \times R^{1.16}$	0.86	30	34
Amazon	Óbidos	$Q = 30410 \times R - 2521$	0.98	102	13	$[Cc] = 4E + 1.5 \times u^{*11.75}$	0.87	$Qsf = 429 \times R^{2.27}$	0.75	30	34



relationship (equation (2)) present a relatively narrow range of the exponent value between 5 and 6, except for the OBI data. This indicates that a common hydraulic model can be explored and used to obtain a first estimation of $[Cc]$ for a range of river scales of one order of magnitude in the Amazon plain. The OBI exception is not yet explained and will be explored in the future (figure 3). Coefficients in the equation of the relationship between u^* and $[Cc]$ are seen in table 1. This relationship is then used to calculate the coarse sediment flux.

In the Supplementary Material (figure 6), the results of sand sediment flux obtained using a sediment transport capacity are presented based on Camenen and Larson (2008) and Camenen *et al* (2014). Good agreement is observed between the model and data for all stations except for the OBI with a large overestimation is observed. The results indicates that the sand suspension capacity is reached *a priori*; thus, this result validates the use of an empirical formula for prediction. In the case of OBI, the difference may be explained by the singularity of this station located in a very constrained zone with high velocities. At OBI, the sand concentrations are indeed more regulated by the upstream reach that is larger and less dynamic.

Bedload has been calculated using the Camenen and Larson (2005) formula (see Supplementary Material figure 6) and this show negligible contribution ($\approx 1\%$) in comparison to suspended load.

3.3. Relationship between rainfall and fine suspended sediment flux Q_{sf}

To establish the relationship between Q_{sf} and rainfall, we used a dataset of $[Cf]$ derived from surface sample ($[Cf]_{surface}$) every 10 days via a bottle filled in the middle of the cross-section at each gauging station. Note that $[Cf]$ represents the average fine suspended sediment at cross-section, and $[Cf]_{surface}$ data show a well-defined unique linear relationship regardless of the gauging station ($[Cf] = 1.17[Cf]_{surface}$, figure 4). This indicates that one sample taken at the surface can be representative of $[Cf]$ in a cross-section of the Amazon plain.

This study shows that there is a power-law relationship between the mean monthly rainfall and fine suspended sediment flux (Q_{sf}), with $r^2 > 0.7$ for all gauging stations in the plain. The narrow range of exponent values is between 1.9 and 2.3, except at the CAR station (1.16) (table 1). There is a time lag of 1 month between rainfall and Q_{sf} at OBI, FVA, PTV and CAR, with no time lag at MAN and TAM. Both gauging stations located downstream from the Andean piedmont (TAM and PTV) and show a similar rating curve (figure 5).

The one-month lag could be due to the heterogeneous spatial distribution of rainfall in the basin and the different sources of suspended sediments. The interesting question is why this lag was not observed at the TAM and MAN (Amazon/Solimões) gauging stations. This should be explained with more specific data in the future; nevertheless, Espinoza *et al* (2013) show that the peak of suspended sediments occurred at the same time as the




Figure 4. Relationship between fine suspended sediment at the surface ($[Cf]_{\text{surface}}$) and average fine suspended sediment concentration over cross-section ($[Cf]$) at six gauging stations.

peak of rainfall at TAM. All stations, have low uncertainty ($\text{RMSE} = 30\%$), and this implies that Q_{sf} can be better predicted from rainfall rate in each watershed, rather than from discharge. This is because there is no complex interpretation of the hysteresis trend. Rainfall is probably a more significant control factor of Q_{sf} than discharge. Note that historical sediments deposited into the Bolivian basin (Aalto *et al* 2003) have suggested sensitivity between the fine sediment transport rate and rainfall.

3.4. Suspended sediment flux


It is possible to calculate the sediment flux over the Amazon plain using rainfall data only from the three relationships shown in the sections 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3 (equations (2) and (3) with fitting coefficients presented in table 1). Estimates of sediment flux using the empirical relationship described in this study are comparable to values proposed in the literature considering the range of uncertainties (equation (4) figure 6(a)).

$$Q_s = Q_{sf} + Q_{sc} = Q([Cf] + [Cc]) \quad (4)$$

Distinguishing between fine and coarse particles is important because the dynamics of these two grain-size types of suspended sediments are different during the annual hydrological regime. A sandy sediment flux is strongly related to local water discharge, with no limitation of supply. Fine sediment flux is mainly controlled by annual rainfall distribution (figures 6(b), (c)). Thus, the different scales of rainfall distribution and climatic factors, control the two types of sediment flux in the Amazon basin.

In summary, rainfall plays an important role in the dynamics of fine and coarse suspended sediments in the Amazon basin, and this influence is differentiated in space and time. Fine sediments are eroded at the beginning of the rainy season in the Andean region, where the peak of $[Cf]$ is during the wet period. Coarse suspended sediment flux in the Amazon plain is directly related to local water discharge and therefore to the rainfall rate upstream.

The empirical model used in this study enables the novel possibility to predict sediment fluxes in the Amazon River network, considering the actual conditions. In the case of sand, the model corresponds to a set of sediment transport capacities validated on the Amazon system. Sands represent 25% to 48% of the annual sediment fluxes in the Madeira, Solimões and Amazon rivers and do not transit downstream in phase with fine sediments. This is because fine and coarse sediments are not strictly controlled by the same factors. This empirical model can estimate how these two types of sediment fluxes might vary with climatic variability and global land change.



4. Conclusions

This work analyzed suspended sediment flux in the Amazon plain, considering two types of suspended sediments (fine and coarse) over 20 years (1995–2014). The sediments were related to discharge and rainfall.

The time lag between rainfall and discharge has been established and is related to the area upstream of the gauging station. This is a primary concern, because clay, silt and sand sediments have different impacts on the transport of nutrients or heavy metals, river geomorphology, biodiversity, fluvial transport, and/or dam projects.

Considering the time of concentration in the empirical analysis of the relationship between rainfall and discharge, a simple linear trend can be applied to calculate mean monthly discharge for any location in the Amazon plain. Rainfall over the Amazon plain can be used to directly estimate discharge and fine suspended sediments flux and to indirectly estimate sandy sediment flux.

This methodology could be explored in other large rivers systems. In the Solimões, Amazon, Madeira and Branco rivers, the total suspended sediment flux is formed mainly by fine suspended sediments. However, coarse (sand) sediment flux is not negligible, especially in the Solimões River, where the contribution for sand comes from northern tributaries such as the Iça or Japurá rivers (Dunne *et al* 1998), and it can reach close to 50% of the total flux for the Solimões River.

The physical model proposed by Camenen and Larson (2008) and Camenen *et al* (2014) is relevant because it is based on a large data set including field data. The data show robustness to predict the sands flux (apart for the OBI station). The values indicated that sediment transport capacity is achieved for all stations.

Using this empirical model, we can predict discharge and sediment flux under different climatic conditions that control rainfall input over the Amazon basin. These values could be applied for prediction considering that




Figure 6. (a) Annual sediment flux calculated in this study at the Tamshiyacu, Manacapuru, Porto Velho, Fazenda Vista Alegre, Caracarai, and Óbidos with an RSME of 30%. (b) Conceptual diagram of the empirical model for predicting water discharge and sediment flux from rainfall data (c) Fine and coarse suspended sediment flux estimate with the empirical relationship.

the current surface condition of the basin does not change too much. Any change in this empirical set of trends leading to hydrologic response in the atmospheric input could be used to establish several changes of natural or anthropogenic impacts if any of the statistics change.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Thomas Dunne and the anonymous reviewers for their comments and observations, which helped us to improved this work. We also thank the Observation Service for the Geodynamical, Hydrological and Biogeochemical Control of Erosion/Alteration and Material Transport in the Amazon Basin (SO-HYBAM) and the National Water Agency (ANA), the Geological Survey of Brazil (CPRM), and the IHESA project for support during field campaigns. We also thank Bosco Alfenas and Chuck Goll. We thank the National Institute of Amazonian Research (INPA), the University of the State of Amazonas (UEA), and the Climate and Environmental Program (CLIAMB), especially Dr Luis Cândido and Dr Rita Valeria Andreoli. We also thank the PNICP-Peru through the 'Nº397-PNICP-PIAP-2014' contract.

ORCID iDs

E Armijos  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4839-6924>

J C Espinoza  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7732-8504>

References

- Aalto R, Maurice-Bourgoin L, Dunne T, Montgomery D R, Nitttrouer C A and Guyot J L 2003 Episodic sediment accumulation on Amazonian flood plains influenced by El Nino/Southern Oscillation *Nature* **425** 493–7
- Aalto R, Dunne T and Guyot J L 2006 Geomorphic controls on Andean denudation rates *J. Geol.* **114** 85–99
- Abril G *et al* 2013 Amazon River carbon dioxide outgassing fuelled by wetlands *Nature* **505** 395–8
- Anderson E P, Jenkins C N, Heilpern S, Maldonado-Ocampo J A, Carvajal-Vallejos F M, Encalada A C and Salcedo N 2018 Fragmentation of Andes-to-Amazon connectivity by hydropower dams *Sci. Adv.* **4** 1642
- Armijos E, Crave A, Espinoza R, Fraizy P, Dos Santos A L M R, Sampaio F and Pantoja N 2017 Measuring and modeling vertical gradients in suspended sediments in the Solimões/Amazon River *Hydrol. Process.* **313** 654–67
- Armijos E, Crave A, Vauchel P, Fraizy P, Santini W, Moquet J S, Arevalo N, Carranza J and Guyot J L 2013 Suspended sediment dynamics in the Amazon River of Peru *J. South. Am. Earth. Sci.* **44** 75–84
- Bouchez J, Métivier F, Lupker M, Maurice L, Perez M, Gaillardet J and France Lanord C 2011 Prediction of depth integrated fluxes of suspended sediment in the Amazon River: particle aggregation as a complicating factor *Hydrol. Process.* **5** 778–94
- Callède J, Cochonneau G, Alves F V, Guyot J L, Guirimães V S and De Oliveira E 2010 Les apports en eau de l'Amazonie à l'Océan Atlantique *J. Water Sci.* **23** 247–73
- Camenen B and Larson M 2005 A bedload sediment transport formula for the nearshore *Estuar. Coast. Shelf. Sci.* **63** 249–60
- Camenen B and Larson M 2008 A general formula for non cohesive suspended sediment transport *J. Coast. Res.* **24** 615–27
- Camenen B, Le Coz J, Dramais G, Peteuil C, Fretaud T, Falgon A and Moore S A 2014 A simple physically-based model for predicting sand transport dynamics in the Lower Mekong River *Proc. River Flow Conf.*
- Cohen S, Kettner A J and Syvitski J P 2014 Global suspended sediment and water discharge dynamics between 1960 and 2010: continental trends and intra-basin sensitivity *Glob Plan change.* **115** 44–58
- Collischonn W, Allasia D, Da Silva B C and Tucci C E 2007 The MGB-IPH model for large-scale rainfall—runoff modelling *J. Hydrol. Sci. J* **525** 878–95
- Correa S W, de Paiva R C, Espinoza D J C and Collischonn W 2017 Multi-decadal Hydrological Retrospective: case study of Amazon floods and droughts *J. Hydrol.* **549** 667–84
- Davidson E A *et al* 2012 The Amazon basin in transition *Nature* **481** 321–8
- De Paiva R C, Buarque D C, Collischonn W, Bonnet M P, Frappart F, Calmant S and Bulhoes C A 2013 Large-scale hydrologic and hydrodynamic modeling of the Amazon River basin *Water Resour. Res.* **493** 1226–43
- Dos Santos A L M R, Martinez J M, Filizola N P Jr, Armijos E and Alves L G S 2018 Purus River suspended sediment variability and contributions to the Amazon River from satellite data 2000–2015 *Comp. Rend. Geosc.* **350** 13–9
- Dunne T, Mertes L A, Meade R H, Richey J E and Forsberg B R 1998 Exchanges of sediment between the flood plain and channel of the Amazon River in Brazil *Geol. Societ. Amer. Bullett.* **1104** 450–67
- Espinoza J C, Guyot J L, Cochonneau G, Filizola N, Fraizy P, Labat D, de Oliveira E, Ordoñez J and Vauchel P 2009b Contrasting regional discharge evolutions in the Amazon Basin 1974–2004 *J. Hydrol.* **375** 297–311
- Espinoza J C, Ronchail J, Guyot J L, Cochonneau G, Naziano F, Lavado W and Vauchel P 2009a Spatio-temporal rainfall variability in the Amazon basin countries Brazil Peru Bolivia Colombia and Ecuador *Int. J. Climatol.* **2911** 1574–94
- Espinoza J C, Ronchail J, Marengo J A and Segura H 2019 Contrasting North–South changes in Amazon wet-day and dry-day frequency and related atmospheric features 1981–2017 *Clim. Dyn.* **52** 5413–30
- Espinoza-Villar R, Martinez J M, Armijos E, Espinoza J C, Filizola N, Dos Santos A, Willems B, Fraizy P, Santini W and Vauchel P 2018 Spatio-temporal monitoring of suspended sediments in the Solimões River 2000–2014 *Compt. Rend. Geosci.* **3501** 4–12
- Espinoza Villar R, Martinez J M, Le Texier M, Guyot J L, Fraizy P, Meneses P R and Oliveira E 2013 A study of sediment transport in the Madeira River Brazil using MODIS remote-sensing images *J. South Americ Earth Sci* **44** 45–54
- Ferreira J *et al* 2014 Brazil's environmental leadership at risk *Sci.* **346** 706–7
- Filizola N and Guyot J L 2009 Suspended sediment yields in the Amazon basin: an assessment using the Brazilian national data set *Hydrol. Process.* **2322** 3207–15
- Forsberg B, Melack J, Dunne T, Barthelm R, Goulding M, Paiva R C, Sorribas M, Silva U and Weisser S 2017 The potential impact of new Andean dams on Amazon fluvial ecosystems *PLoS One* **128** e0182254
- Funk C *et al* 2015 The climate hazards infrared precipitation with stations—a new environmental record for monitoring extremes *Sci. Data* **2** 150066
- Granato G E 2012 Estimating basin lagtime and hydrograph-timing indexes used to characterize storm flows for runoff-quality analysis *U.S. Geological Survey Scientif. Investigat. Report* 5110
- Guyot J L, Fillzola N, Quintanilla J and Cortez J 1996 Dissolved solids and suspended sediment yields in the Rio Madeira basin from the Bolivian Andes to the Amazon *Proceeding of the Exeter Symp. IAHS Pulic* 55–64
- Hack J T 1957 Studies of longitudinal stream profiles in Virginia and Maryland. US Government Printing Office. Paper B 294 1–97.
- Latrubesse E *et al* 2017 Damming the rivers of the Amazon basin *Nature* **546** 363–9
- Latrubesse E M and Restrepo J D 2014 Sediment yield along the Andes: continental budget regional variations and comparisons with other basins from orogenic mountain belts *Geomorphology.* **216** 225–33
- Maurice L, Bonnet M P, Martinez J M, Kosuth P, Cochonneau G, Moreira-Turcq P and Seyler P 2007 Temporal dynamics of water and sediment exchanges between the Curuai floodplain and the Amazon River Brazil *J. Hydrol.* **335** 140–56
- Meade R H 1985 Suspended sediment in the Amazon River and its tributaries in Brazil during 1982–84 *US Geol. Survey.* (<https://doi.org/10.3133/ofr85492>)
- Meade R H, Dunne T, Richey J E, De M Santos U and Salati E 1985 Storage and remobilization of suspended sediment in the lower Amazon River of Brazil *Science* **228** 488–90
- Meade R H, Rayol J M, Da Conceição S C and Natividade J R 1991 Backwater effects in the Amazon River basin of Brazil *Environ. Geol. and Water Sci* **18** 105–14
- Mertes L A and Meade R H 1985 Particle sizes of sands collected from the bed of the Amazon River and its tributaries in Brazil during 1982–84. 85-333 *US Geol. Survey.*
- Milliman J D and Farnsworth K L 2011 *River Discharge to the Coastal Ocean. A Global Synthesis* (New York: Cambridge University Press) 978-0-521-87987-3
- Moreira-Turcq P, Seyler P, Guyot J L and Etcheber H 2003 Exportation of organic carbon from the Amazon River and its main tributaries *Hydrol. Process.* **17** 1329–44

- Mouyen M, Longuevergne L, Steer P, Crave C, Lemoine J, Save H and Robin C 2018 Assessing modern river sediment discharge to the ocean using satellite gravimetry *Nat. Commun.* **9** 3384
- Nobre C A, Sampaio G, Borma L S, Castilla-Rubio J C, Silva J S and Cardoso M 2016 Land-use and climate change risks in the Amazon and the need of a novel sustainable development paradigm *PNAS* **39** 10759–68
- Pepin E, Guyot J L, Armijos E, Bazán H, Fraizy P, Moquet J S and Vauchel P 2013 Climatic control on eastern Andean denudation rates Central Cordillera from Ecuador to Bolivia *J. South Am. Earth Sci.* **44** 85–93
- Picouet C, Hingray B and Olivry J C 2001 Empirical and conceptual modelling of the suspended sediment dynamics in a large tropical African river: the Upper Niger river basin *J. Hydrol.* **250** 19–39
- Pontes P R, Collischonn W, Fan F M, Paiva R C and Buarque D C 2015 Modelagem hidrológica e hidráulica de grande escala com propagação inercial de vazões *Rev. Brasil. Recu. Hídrol.* **204** 888–904
- Richey J E, Meade R H, Salati E, Devol A H, Nordin C F Jr and Santos U D 1986 Water discharge and suspended sediment concentrations in the Amazon River: 1982–1984 *Water Resour. Res.* **22** 756–64
- Richey J E, Nobre C and Deser C 1989 Amazon River discharge and climate variability: 1903 to 1985 *Science* **246** 101–3
- Salati E and Vose P B 1984 Amazon basin: a system in equilibrium *Scienc.* **225** 4658
- Vauchel P *et al* 2017 A reassessment of the suspended sediment load in the Madeira River basin from the Andes of Peru and Bolivia to the Amazon River in Brazil based on 10 years of data from the HYBAM monitoring programme *J. Hydrol.* **553** 35–48
- Walling D E and Webb B W 1982 Sediment availability and the prediction of storm-period sediment yields *Recent Develop. Explanat. and Predict. Erosion and Sediment* **137** 327–37